



TOWN OF CUTLER BAY  
INVITATION TO BID (ITB) No. 25-06  
SOIL REMEDIATION PROJECT FOR BLUE HERON PARK

**TOWN RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS**

**RECEIVED PRIOR TO THE OCTOBER 15, 2025 AT 1:00 PM DEADLINE**

Question No. 1. Please confirm the estimated budget for this project.

Answer: There is no estimated budget for this project.

---

Question No. 2. What is the total duration for substantial and final completion? Are there liquidated damages, and if so, what daily rate applies?

Answer: Full contract duration is 210 days. 180 for substantial completion and an additional 30 days for final completion.

---

Question No. 3. Could you please provide a list of licensed Class I landfills currently approved by Miami-Dade DERM?

Answer: The preferred Class I landfill that is approved by DERM is the South Dade landfill located to the south of this project.

---

Question No. 4. Confirm whether payments will be processed monthly based on percentage completion or by DERM milestone (e.g., zone clearance).

Answer: Payments will be processed monthly based on the percentage completed.

---

Question No. 5. Will retainage be 5% or 10%, and is it held until DERM closure or final project acceptance?

Answer: Retainage to be 5% as per Florida Statue 255.078 and will be held until final project acceptance.

---

Question No. 6. Please clarify which permits are Town-obtained versus contractor-obtained (NPDES NOI, County ROW MOT, DERM notifications), and confirm whether permit costs should be included in the base bid.

Answer: All permits are to be obtained by the Contractor. Permit fees are not to be included in the base bid but the Town will pay all permit fees from the allowance account identified in Addendum No1.

---

Question No. 7. Confirm if Pollution Liability Insurance (EPL) is required in addition to standard general liability coverage.

Answer: The Consultant shall secure and maintain throughout the duration of this agreement insurance of such types and in such amounts not less than those specified below as satisfactory to Town naming the Town as an Additional Insured, underwritten by a firm rated A-X or better by A.M. Best and qualified to do business in the State of Florida. The insurance coverage shall be primary insurance with respect to the Town, its officials, employees, agents, and volunteers naming the Town as additional insured. Any insurance maintained by the Town shall be in excess of the Consultant's insurance and shall not contribute to the Consultant's insurance. The insurance coverages shall include at a minimum the amounts set forth in this section and may be increased by the Town as it deems necessary or prudent.

1. Commercial General Liability coverage with limits of liability of not less than a \$1,000,000 per Occurrence combined single limit for Bodily Injury and Property Damage. This Commercial General Liability Insurance shall also include Completed Operations and Product Liability coverages and eliminate the exclusion with respect to property under the care, custody and control of Consultant. The Commercial General Liability coverage limit and the Completed Operations and Product Liability coverage limit shall have an aggregate limit shall in the amount of \$2,000,000 per Project.
  2. Workers Compensation and Employer's Liability insurance, to apply for all employees for statutory limits as required by applicable State and Federal laws. The policy(ies) must include Employer's Liability with minimum limits of \$1,000,000.00 each accident. No employee, subcontractor or agent of the Consultant shall be allowed to provide Services pursuant to this Agreement who is not covered by Worker's Compensation insurance.
  3. Business Automobile Liability with minimum limits of \$1,000,000 per occurrence, combined single limit for Bodily Injury and Property Damage. Coverage must be afforded on a form no more restrictive than the latest edition of the Business Automobile Liability policy, without restrictive endorsements, as filed by the Insurance Service Office, and must include Owned, Hired, and Non-Owned Vehicles.
  4. Professional Liability Insurance in an amount of not less than \$5,000,000.00 per occurrence, single limit.
  5. Pollution Liability Insurance in an amount of not less than \$1,000,000.00 per Occurrence and \$2 million in the aggregate per Project.
  6. Umbrella or Excess Liability Insurance in an amount not less than \$5 million per Occurrence and \$25 million in the aggregate per Project.
-

Question No. 8. Please specify the estimated groundwater elevation and confirm whether dewatering discharge will require NPDES sampling.

Answer: Dewatering is not required as part of this project.

---

Question No. 9. If dewatering is required, please indicate the authorized discharge location.

Answer: Dewatering is not required as part of this project.

---

Question No. 10. Clarify the extent of the contractor's liability in the event that, after project completion, future sampling reveals additional arsenic contamination. Specifically, what limitation of liability applies if samples are taken in protected tree zones where no excavation occurs?

Answer: Upon acceptance from DERM and completion of the project, Contractor to provide a one year warranty on all work performed.

---

Question No. 11. The documents reference "engineering controls" to be implemented in tree protection areas. Please provide more detail on the expected methods and identify who will be responsible for these controls.

Answer: The Soil Management Plan provides the expectation of the engineering controls.

---

Question No.12. Please confirm whether sampling will be conducted during excavation. If so, who performs the sampling, and what occurs if contamination is encountered beyond 4 feet in depth?

Answer: No sampling will be conducted during the excavation. The Contractor is expected to excavate soils within the property boundary, and around the tree protection zones.

---

Question No. 13. The ITB narrative mentions approximately 24,000 CY of excavation, while the bid form lists 12,950 CY under "Earthwork – Offsite." Please confirm which quantity should govern the bid.

Answer: Please refer to the bid form for the quantity of contaminated soil to be removed. See revised quantity in the bid form. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 14. Could the removed material be quantified in tons rather than cubic yards, as landfills charge by tonnage?

Answer: Removed material to be quantified in cubic yards.

---

Question No. 15. Please confirm whether debris from the existing sidewalks and slabs to be demolished should be treated as contaminated material.

Answer: Yes, asphalt being removed shall be disposed in a similar manner as the contaminated soils. Contractor to determine means and methods to dispose of asphalt.

---

Question No. 16. Please clarify whether the flexi-pave path alignment requires removal of the entire existing sidewalk or only the section being realigned.

Answer: Demolition and Erosion Control Plans depict the limits of the existing path to be removed.

---

Question No. 17. Confirm that the General Contractor is responsible for sod restoration, and please specify the sod type and approximate quantity.

Answer: Yes, Contractor is responsible for sod restoration. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 18. Please confirm whether the park has an existing irrigation system, and if so, whether it must be replaced or restored.

Answer: There is not an existing irrigation system in place.

---

Question No. 19. Provide the required section details for the new flexi-pave path (base, sub-base, flexi-pave thickness, geotextile layer, color, and aggregate type).

Answer: Please review sheet C301.0 of the plans as the information requested is already provided in the plans.

---

**Question No. 20. Pavilion scope clarification:**

- a. Confirm required foundation depth and anchorage type.
- b. Confirm whether pavilion structures are Town-furnished or contractor-supplied.
- c. Clarify electrical scope — does the allowance include underground conduit and connection to the nearest panel?

**Answer:** Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1, for concrete foundation details. Contractor to supply pavilions. There is no proposed electrical scope as part of this project.

---

**Question No. 21. Exercise equipment reinstallation: confirm whether existing footings may be reused or if new pads are required.**

**Answer:** New concrete pads to be installed for the exercise equipment reinstallation. The plans and the concrete pad quantity in the bid form have been revised to include these locations. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1. All existing exercise equipment and benches to be reused. Contractor responsible for replacement if damaged/not returned in existing condition. All existing exercise equipment and benches to be reused. Contractor responsible for replacement if damaged/not returned in existing condition.

---

**Question No. 22. Should erosion and sediment controls remain in place after backfilling until vegetation stabilization, or may they be removed following DERM sign-off?**

**Answer:** Erosion and sediment controls to remain in place as needed per the NPDES permit requirements.

---

**Question No. 23. Wash-down station: confirm whether it is a permanent installation or temporary facility for construction use.**

**Answer:** It is a temporary facility. Bid form has been revised to remove the automatic washdown station requirement. Refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 24. Restoration drawings (beginning with C-300) show elevations for the new concrete path, but not for excavated areas. Please confirm the final grading elevations for the overall park.

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1, for Restoration Sheets for additional grading information.

---

Question No. 25. Please confirm whether owner-controlled allowances exist for landscaping, signage, or irrigation that are not shown on the bid form.

Answer: Sod restoration has been added to the revised bid form. Signage replacement to be incorporated as part of mobilization costs. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 26. Can the City provide the history and background of how the soil became contaminated with arsenic?

Answer: It is unknown and not relevant to the bid.

---

Question No. 27. The ITB and the accompanying Appendix C (Soil Management Plan) only show test results for arsenic. Have any tests been done for other contaminants such as “8 RCRA metals, PCB’s, herbicides, and pesticides?” If so, can the City provide the test results to ensure proper disposal of the contaminated material?

Answer: Other tests have been conducted, to include pesticides and herbicides. No other tests have been conducted. Copies can be supplied to the Contractor when awarded the project. Only arsenic was identified as exceeding the Soil Cleanup Target Levels.

---

Question No. 28. For Appendix C, Soil Management Plan, what is the unit of measure for the arsenic on the Sample Concentration Maps, pages 17, 18, and 19? For instance, the map on page 17, sample “SB-BG-43” shows a bold “9.9.” Is that 9.9 parts per million or some other measure?

Answer: Milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg).

---

Question No. 29. Can the City clarify the estimated volume of contaminated soil to be disposed in an approved landfill? The ITB references “24,000 cubic yards”, but the Bid Form shows “12,950 CY” under the item labeled “EARTHWORK-OFFSITE.” What accounts for the difference in those figures?

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1 for quantity of contaminated soil to be disposed of.

---

Question No. 30. If the estimate for contaminated soil to be disposed of in an approved landfill is “12,950 CY” as shown on the Bid Form, why does the Bid Form list “25,000 CY” for “Clean backfill” under Item “EARTHWORK-ONSITE.” It would seem that the amount of backfill required would be similar to the amount of contaminated soil disposed of offsite.

Answer: The proposed elevation of the site is not the same as the existing elevation. As such, additional backfill material is required, creating a difference in the values. Please refer to the bid form for the quantity of contaminated soil to be removed. See revised quantity in the bid form. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 31. Landfill charges are per ton. Will the City consider changing the “Units” on the Bid Form under Item “EARTHWORK-OFFSITE” from “CY” to “Ton?” Billing and payment per ton is accurate, exact, and fair to both the City and the contractor.

Answer: Quantity for offsite earthwork to remain in cubic yards.

---

Question No. 32. Statue of Limitations for the project.

Answer: Upon acceptance from DERM and completion of the project, Contractor to provide a one year warranty on all work performed.

---

Question No. 33. Is there a budget for this project?

Answer: There is no estimated budget for this project.

---

Question No. 34. The ITB indicates that approximately 24,000 CY of contaminated soil will be removed and disposed of from the Park. However, the bid form indicates in the earthwork-offsite item 12,950 CY. Please clarify.

Answer: The value listed in the bid form is how much contaminated soil should be removed from the park. Please refer to the revised bid form. The proposed elevation of the site is not the same as the existing elevation. As such, additional backfill material is required, creating a difference in the values. Please refer to the bid form for the quantity of contaminated soil to be removed. See revised quantity in the bid form. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No.35. The Source Removal/Soil Management Plan and the DERM letter dated May 15, 2025, require dust monitoring and reporting in each quarterly Soil Management Operating Report (SMOR). Please clarify if these services will be provided by the Contractor or the Town's environmental consultant?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for these items.

---

Question No. 36. The Source Removal/Soil Management Plan requires compaction of the backfill material, but the DERM letter dated May 15, 2025, indicates compaction is not required. Please clarify.

Answer: Compaction will not be required in the areas outside the tree protection zones.

---

Question No. 37. The Source Removal/Soil Management Plan and the DERM letter dated May 15, 2025, require documentation of the disposal of contaminated soils and clean fill tickets. Please clarify who will sign the contaminated soils disposal manifests and clean fill tickets in the field and prepare the SMORs, the Contractor, or the Town's environmental consultant?

Answer: Manifests to be provided to the Town prior to disposal activities. Copies of the manifests signed by the landfill shall be provided to the Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) for documentation with DERM. The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for the SMORs.

---

Question No. 38. The Source Removal/Soil Management Plan and the DERM letter dated May 15, 2025, require the submittal of a Confirmation Sampling Plan (CSP). Please clarify if the preparation of the CSP and the sampling will be performed by the Contractor or the Town's environmental consultant?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for the CSP and sampling.

---

Question No. 39. The DERM letter dated May 15, 2025, requires an addendum to the Site Assessment Report to address boundary soil assessment and delineation, as well as groundwater assessment, following the completion of the source removal. Please clarify if these services will be provided by the Contractor or the Town's environmental consultant?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for any future assessment.

---

Question No. 40. Since engineering controls will be constructed in areas around the trees, who will prepare the required Engineering Control Plan (ECP), Engineering Control Implementation Report (ECIR), Engineering Control Maintenance Plan (ECMP), and Declaration of Restrictive Covenant (DRC), the Contractor or the Town's environmental consultant?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for these items.

---

Question No. 41. Please confirm the total excavation/removal quantity and split between contaminated and non-contaminated soils. The pre-bid discussion referenced approximately 24,000 CY total with ~12,000 CY contaminated and ~12,000 CY non-contaminated, while bid forms appear to indicate ~12–13k CY in places.

Rationale

Accurate quantities are required to price hauling, profiling, disposal fees, schedule, and truck cycles.

Requested Response / Direction

- Confirm final bid quantities by category (contaminated / non-contaminated).
- Identify pay items, unit of measure (CY in-place, CY loose, or Tons), and measurement method.
- Clarify if separate unit prices are required for each category.

Answer: Please refer to the earthwork offsite line item in the bid form for the quantity of contaminated soil to be removed from the site. Units are to remain in cubic yards. Clarification on onsite vs offsite line items has been incorporated into the revised bid form. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 42. Please confirm that all demolition asphalt (pavement, millings, chunks) shall be managed and disposed in the same manner as contaminated soils, unless laboratory results allow otherwise. Provide the required analytical panels (e.g., metals, PAHs; TCLP/SPLP) and acceptance criteria to determine disposal vs. reuse.

**Rationale**

Pre-bid comments stated asphalt should be treated “similar to contaminated soils.” We need the exact testing, thresholds, and allowable pathways to prevent rejection at facilities.

**Requested Response / Direction**

- List mandatory tests, sample frequency, and pass/fail limits.
- State whether asphalt recycling is prohibited or allowed with conditions.

**Answer:** Asphalt being removed shall be disposed in a similar manner as the contaminated soils. Contractor to determine means and methods to dispose of asphalt.

---

Question No.43. Please confirm the responsible party for environmental reporting, air monitoring, and engineering controls. Pre-bid remarks stated “Emily Horne is in charge of all that... on behalf of the Town.” Provide a responsibility matrix showing who furnishes labor/equipment, who interprets data, and who signs reports and action decisions.

**Rationale**

Defines who supplies air monitors, calibration, field techs, perimeter dust/odor control, documentation, and who directs stop-work/mitigation.

**Requested Response / Direction**

- Responsibility split for: monitoring equipment, implementation of controls (misting, covers), daily logs, data review, regulatory notifications, and report submittals.
- Submittal workflow and acceptance authority (Town / Kimley-Horn / DERM).
- Minimum qualifications for any Contractor-provided environmental personnel.

**Answer:** The Town’s Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for these items.

---

Question No. 44. Please provide the current list of DERM-approved disposal facilities acceptable for this contract, for contaminated soils and, separately, for demolition asphalt (if disposal—not recycling—is required).

**Rationale**

We must pre-qualify facilities, complete profiles, and coordinate tipping windows; availability and distance materially affect cost and schedule.

**Requested Response / Direction**

- Facility names, addresses, contact points, accepted waste codes/streams.
- Profile package requirements and typical turnaround times.
- Any Owner-preferred or pre-approved facilities.

**Answer:** The preferred Class I landfill that is approved by DERM is the South Dade landfill located to the south of this project. The Contractor will be responsible to obtain the necessary profile for disposal and shall reach out to the landfill for approval requirements.

---

Question No. 45. Please clarify the Contractor's liability if contamination is discovered after Substantial/Final Completion and acceptance. Confirm that such discovery constitutes a Differing Site Condition and will be addressed via Change Order unless caused by Contractor actions.

**Rationale**

Concerns were raised at pre-bid about future sampling finding areas not previously tested. We need the contractual boundary to price risk appropriately.

**Requested Response / Direction**

- Define the liability window/statute for latent contamination.
- Confirm Owner retains risk of unknown contamination not caused by Contractor's operations.
- Identify notification and claim procedures.

**Answer:** Upon acceptance from DERM and completion of the project, Contractor to provide a one year warranty on all work performed.

---

Question No.46. For soils that remain on site or are temporarily stockpiled, please specify allowed practices (stockpile footprint/height, liners, covers, stormwater controls), testing criteria for reuse, and who issues acceptance for reuse/capping.

**Rationale**

On-site handling must comply with DERM/FDEP and the project's environmental strategy; unclear rules create schedule risk.

**Requested Response / Direction**

- Requirements for stockpile BMPs, inspection frequency, and stormwater/erosion control.
- Analytical criteria and documentation needed for reuse vs. disposal.
- Required sign-offs (Emily Horne / Kimley-Horn / DERM).

Pre-Bid transcript screenshots as applicable.

To ensure compliance with DERM and project specifications before sourcing clean fill material.

**Answer:** Stockpiling shall be in accordance with the approved Soil Management Plan. There shall be on beneficial reuse of soils excavated from the site. All soils shall be transported to the approved

landfill, with signed manifests provided to the Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates).

---

Question No. 47. According to Section 4.4 of the Source Removal / Soil Management Plan and the ITB #25-06 specifications, all clean backfill to be imported must originate from an approved Miami-Dade County quarry, or if sourced outside the county, be characterized in accordance with DERM Guidance 7H – Soil Reuse Guidance for Miami-Dade County (Revised January 2024).

Please confirm and provide:

1. A current list of Miami-Dade County approved quarries authorized to supply clean backfill material for soil remediation projects.
2. The contact information or website where the list of approved sources can be verified or downloaded.
3. Any specific testing or certification requirements that must accompany the backfill material upon delivery to the site.

Answer: Contractors may use any quarry within Miami-Dade County for clean fill. Should the Contractor elect to use a quarry outside Miami-Dade County, said quarry must provide analytical data proving the fill as clean.

---

Question No. 48. Can the bid due date be extended to November 14th, this will allow sufficient time for bidders to provide a qualified bid.

Answer: No, the bid due date will not be extended.

---

Question No. 49. Is Kimley Horn handling all the environmental consulting aspects of this job including soil and groundwater screening and testing?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for these items.

---

Question No. 50. Is there a required number of days to complete?

Answer: Answer: Full contract duration is 210 days. 180 for substantial completion and an additional 30 days for final completion.

---

Question No.51. Can the arsenic level be measured in real time during excavation to screen for levels? If so, can the soil be replaced that falls below regulatory levels?

Answer: Soil screening is not anticipated to be needed, as the Contractor is expected to dig to the property boundaries, outside the tree protection zones.

---

Question No. 52. What is the compaction density standard for back fill, if any?

Answer: Per the approved SMP, backfilling operations will be conducted in one-foot lifts, with each lift meeting or exceeding 85% compaction.

---

Question No. 53. What is the standard for required leakage tests?

Answer: No leakage tests required as part of this project.

---

Question No. 54. Can the excavation depth be more precisely specified?

Answer: Excavation is to be conducted to the depth of groundwater, anticipated at 4 feet below land surface.

---

Question No. 55. Is there change order ability if the excavation exceeds 4 feet bls to a deeper water table depth?

Answer: The quantity for excavation is provided on the bid form. If the quantity were to exceed the bid amount and proper documentation is provided as to the reason for the overage, then the Town would consider a change order, utilizing funds from the allowance account identified in Addendum No. 1.

---

Question No. 56. Where is the 12,000<sup>^</sup>3 yard offsite excavation located?

Answer: The intention of the offsite line item in the bid form is to be indicative of the soil to be hauled offsite. Onsite line item in the bid form is to be indicative of the clean soil to be placed on site.

---

Question No. 57. Can the volume be more precisely indicated 25,000^3 yards or 24,000^3 yards?

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1. for soil quantities (removal and clean backfill).

---

Question No. 58. Does the site office need to be onsite?

Answer: A site office is not required.

---

Question No. 59. Is there an approved stockpile area?

Answer: The Contractor may designate an approved stockpile area during their planning of activities. Any stockpiled soils shall follow the approved SMP.

---

Question No. 60. Are there concerns for cross contamination to the stockpile area?

Answer: The Contractor shall be responsible to ensure cross contamination is avoided.

---

Question No.61. Is there a physical barrier installed or needed to identify the tree protection zone?

Answer: Tree Disposition (L1.00 - L1.03) Plans identify the tree protection zone for the project. Contractor is responsible for installing the Tree Protection per the detail on Sheet L1.04.

---

Question No. 62. Regarding construction, is a silt fence (yellow) what we need to put between the pond and the excavation area? What are the specifications of the silt fence?

Answer: Please refer to Sheet 201.0 for silt fence details.

---

Question No. 63. Please provide more details about the “cap” around the trees

Answer: Please refer to the SMP.

---

Question No. 64. Will the truck wash be able to use lake water?

Answer: No, lake water cannot be used for the truck wash.

---

Question No. 65. Please provide the fill and soil removal quantities in tons.

Answer: Soil removal and fill quantities to remain in cubic yards.

---

Question No.66. Please confirm, and provide, the list we need to use for approved disposal and fill facilities.

Answer: Contractors may use any quarry within Miami-Dade County for clean fill. Should the Contractor elect to use a quarry outside Miami-Dade County, said quarry must provide analytical data proving the fill as clean.

---

Question No. 67. Can soil be used as “daily cover” if appropriate?

Answer: There shall be no beneficial reuse of soils offsite. All soils shall be disposed at the approved landfill.

---

Question No. 68. Is there irrigation for the sod present now?

Answer: No

---

Question No. 69. How much square footage for sod?

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 70. Will we dig limestone out, if encountered?

Answer: The excavation will be conducted to the depth of groundwater, anticipated at 4 ft. below land surface.

---

Question No.71. Source Removal Plan Letter, on Page 12 of 74, Paragraph 1 states:

The DCP did not provide information concerning the type of equipment that will be used to measure/read atmospheric conditions (wind speed, direction, etc.). Therefore, appropriate equipment shall be used to measure real time atmospheric conditions at the Site during periods of dust generating activities to support the locations of upwind and downwind total dust monitoring stations, as well as inform the on-site contractor of necessary preventative actions (as outlined in the DCP). The type of equipment used and the time/location of measurements/readings shall be provided in each quarterly Soil Management Operating Report (SMOR). Additionally, total dust monitoring readings, presented in graphical format, shall be provided in each SMOR.

Is the city/oversite consultant responsible for doing this?

**Answer:** The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 72. Source Removal Plan Letter, on Page 12 of 74, Paragraph 4 states:

DERM acknowledges that a Confirmation Sampling Plan (CSP) will be provided to DERM upon completion of backfill activities to verify the quality of the backfill material.

Is the city/oversite consultant performing and supplying the CSP?

**Answer:** The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 73. Source Removal Plan Letter, on Page 13 of 74, Paragraph 2 states:

Be advised that the vertical and horizontal extent of the contaminant plume(s) shall be fully delineated. DERM has the option to split any samples deemed necessary with the consultant or laboratory at the subject site. The consultant collecting the samples shall perform field sampling work in accordance with the Standard Operating Procedures provided in Chapter 62-160, FAC, as amended. The laboratory analyzing the samples shall perform laboratory analyses pursuant to the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (NELAP) certification requirements. If the data submitted exhibits a substantial variance from DERM split sample analysis, a complete resampling using two independent certified laboratories will be required.

Is the city/oversite consultant performing and supplying the CSP?

**Answer:** The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 74. Source Removal Plan, on Page 19 of 74, 2.1 states:

In areas around existing trees, a tree protection zone (TPZ) will be calculated using the trunk diameter (diameter at breast height, "DBH") and a multiplication factor based on the species tolerance to construction and the age of the tree to ensure excavation activities do not detrimentally impact trees. The calculated TPZ will be determined by a qualified arborist contracted by the Town of Cutler Bay, for the specific tree species on the site. The TPZ will be marked in the field with construction stakes and silt fencing (or similar type fencing) to preclude heavy machinery from excavating too close to the root structure of the trees. Construction activities shall not start until the TPZs have been marked in the field.

Is the city Arborist going to supply and install the silt fence or is the excavation contractor required to supply and install the fence?

**Answer:** The Contractor shall be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 75. Source Removal Plan, on Page 22 of 74, 4.5, Paragraph 2 states:

Any soils left in place in landscaped areas (tree protection zones) shall be covered with no less than a 6-mil or greater impermeable liner and one foot of clean backfill to prevent exposure and potential leaching to underlying impacted soils left in place.

When the silt fence is removed you will have 1 foot of soil above grade that will sluff away, are we to leave the silt fence in place?

**Answer:** Grading from the silt fence to the grade outside the tree protection zone shall be conducted by the Contractor. Silt fence to be removed upon completion of project.

---

Question No.76. Source Removal Plan, on Page 22 Of 74, 5.0, states:

Dewatering is not anticipated to be conducted as part of the construction activities. However, should dewatering be required, the contractor shall obtain separate dewatering permits through DERM and/or the South Florida Water Management District (SFWMD). Dewatering and drainage design plans (if necessary) shall be prepared in accordance with the DERM Minimum Requirements for Drainage and Dewatering.

Is this going to be a change order since we do not have any dimensions, depths or flow rates and what kind of treatment system would you require for the dewatering discharge?

**Answer:** As it is stated that dewatering is not anticipated. As such if it is needed due to unforeseen circumstances then that would be discussed at the time that it arises.

---

Question No. 77. Source Removal Plan, on Page 24 of 74, 7.2, 6th Bullet states:

Erosion control – erosion control methods will be implemented in compliance with a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and the NPDES Generic Permit for Stormwater Discharge from Large and Small Construction Activities. The contractor shall provide an approved SWPPP.

Who will be supplying the NPDES permit?

Answer: Contractor is responsible for submitting for the NPDES permit.

---

Question No. 78. Source Removal Plan, on Page 72 of 74, Bid Sheet, Surveying and As-Builts states:

Survey control monuments & benchmark

There is no information in the bid specs for this, please provide.

Answer: Contractor to determine when surveying is required so as to set elevations. The bid sheet line item is the typical survey needed to set elevations for construction.

---

Question No. 79. Source Removal Plan, Page 72 Of 74, Bid Sheet, STORMWATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) all bullets state:

- Construction entrance – There is no information in the bid specs for this, please provide.
- Inlet protection - There is no information in the bid specs for this, please provide.
- Geo fabric @ construction entrance - There is no information in the bid specs for this, please provide.

Answer: Recommend reviewing the plans in detail as all requested information can be found on sheet C201.0.

---

Question No. 80. Source Removal Plan, Page 73 of 74, Bid Sheet, Earthwork Offsite, Bullets 1 & 2 state:

- Clear and grub – haul off to DERM approved landfill - There is no information in the bid specs for the areas or quantities to clear & grub, please provide.

Answer: The clearing and grubbing area are congruent with the area to be excavated.

---

Question No. 81. Source Removal Plan, Page 73 of 74, bid sheet, Automated Wash-Down Station, Bullet 1 states:

Automated wash-down station onsite

- There is no information in the bid specs for this, please provide.

Answer: Bid form has been revised to remove the automatic washdown station requirement. Refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 82. Source Removal Plan, Page 73 of 74, bid sheet, Payment & Performance Bond, Bullet 1 states:

Pursuant to and in accordance with Section 255.05, Florida Statutes, the Contractor shall obtain and thereafter at all times during the performance of the Work maintain a separate performance bond and labor and material payment bond for the Work, each in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the Contract Price.

Answer: Noted.

---

Question No.83. Can we invoice the total amount for the bond on the first invoice?

Answer: If the bond is in place, then it can be invoiced accordingly.

---

Question No. 84. Will the asphalt walkway be disposed of with the contaminated soil?

Answer: Asphalt being removed shall be disposed in a similar manner as the contaminated soils. Contractor to determine means and methods to dispose of asphalt.

---

Question No. 85. Will a 2-week Extension be possible?

Answer: No, the bid due date will not be extended.

---

**Question No. 86. Line Item – Surveying / As-Built**

Please clarify the specific requirements for this line item. For example, are surveys required at the following stages:

- Pre-topographic
- Post-excavation (prior to backfill)
- Post-backfill (final as-built)

**Answer:** Contractor to determine when surveying is required so as to set elevations.

---

**Question No. 87. Line Item – Earthwork (Offsite)**

Earthwork Offsite includes excavate and haul of muck to DERM approved landfill. This bid item shows quantity of 12,950 CY. While Section 00800 Special Condition includes scope of work which states – “By the Town’s calculations, the total amount of soil to be excavated will be up to 24,000 cubic yards”.

- Will you please clarify quantity of contaminated soil that needs to be excavated and hauled off-site for disposal? Is the excavation soil quantity 12,950 CY or 24,000 CY?
- Does the quantity represent survey yards or truck yards?
- It is Industry standard to measure transportation and disposal of soil in tonnage. Can you please revise unit of measurement for this line item to tonnage?

**Answer:** Please refer to the value presented in the bid form for the total quantity of contaminated soil to be excavated. Units to remain in cubic yards.

---

**Question No.88. Line Item – Earthwork (Offsite) – “Muck”**

The term “Muck” is referenced. Please provide a detailed definition, including the anticipated composition (% solids; % liquid/moisture).

**Answer:** All soils, regardless of classification, will be required to be excavated and disposed of at an approved landfill.

---

**Question No. 89. Line Item – Earthwork (Offsite)**

Scope of work states “The depth of the excavation is to the depth of groundwater, identified at approximately 3-4 ft. If contractor encounters lime rock after removing arsenic contaminated soil but before groundwater, then do you want contractor to remove lime rock to the depth of groundwater?

- Can you please provide geotechnical report to understand subsurface conditions (what type of soil at what depth, if there is lime rock above groundwater table, etc..)

**Answer:** A geotechnical report is not available, all soils, regardless of classification, are to be removed to the depth of the groundwater.

---

**Question No. 90. Line Item – Automated Wash Station**

Please provide technical specifications and design details for the automated wash station.

- Can “dry brush” method be utilized in-lieu of water as the contaminant is non-hazardous and easily removed by brush?

**Answer:** Bid form has been revised to remove the automatic washdown station requirement. Refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

**Question No. 91. Line Item – Earthwork (Onsite)**

- Please confirm whether the contractor is responsible for providing analytical testing of backfill material. If so, specify the required frequency of sampling.
- We request that this item be divided into two components with defined quantities, such as:
  - Imported Backfill Material – 25,000 Transported CY – \$\_\_\_\_\_/CY
  - Placement and Grading – 25,000 CY – \$\_\_\_\_\_/CY
  - Does 25,000 CY quantity represent survey yard or truck yards? Please clarify.
  - Earthwork (Off-site) includes 12,950 CY of soil removal while Earthwork (On-site) includes 25,000 CY of backfill. Do you anticipate raising overall elevation of the site?
  - Do you require Contractor to provide topsoil as a part of backfill under this line item? If yes, then can you please create a separate line item and provide quantity, specification, etc. of topsoil and also provide specification for such topsoil?

**Answer:** Overall elevation of the site to be raised. Topsoil to be provided and has been incorporated into the revised bid form. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

**Question No. 92. Confirmation Sampling Plan (CSP)**

- Confirm whether CSP sampling will be conducted by Kimley-Horn.
- Provide the required sampling frequency and analytical turn around time.
- Arsenic has been identified as naturally occurring in the region. Historic data shows all fill sources contain arsenic above SCTL/GWCTL levels at some point. If backfill is pre-sampled and approved prior to mobilization, the contractor should not be held liable for arsenic exceedances in that material. Please clarify the protocol if a CSP sample exceeds applicable thresholds after the material has been approved and placed

**Answer:** The Town’s Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) is required to prepare the CSP. DERM has required the CSP be prepared and implemented to ensure the fill material is void of contaminants. DERM allows fill material from any quarry within Miami-Dade County. Should the Contractor elect to use fill from outside Miami-Dade County, it shall be tested by the contractor to ensure suitable materials.

---

**Question No.93. Air Monitoring**

- Please identify who is responsible for air monitoring
- Please provide documentation and reporting expectations
- Please confirm the number of monitoring stations required; locations on some of the figures appear that a station(s) may have been shown on both figures.

**Answer:** The Town's Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for these items.

---

**Question No. 94. Site Restoration**

Please provide specifications and detailed requirements for site restoration. Include any relevant line items and estimated quantities. For example

- Currently there is mulch around the palm trees will we replace with mulch or soil
- Will top soil be required and is there a minimum thickness of top soil
- What grass species is required
- How long before the grass is accepted, what is the criteria for established grass?
- Will grass be planted in the drip line of the trees? Will alternate soil stabilization such as mulch be required where grass will not grow.

**Answer:** Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1 to include sod.

---

**Question No. 95. Site Restoration**

- Is there irrigation piping with sprinkler heads situated currently in excavation area of the Park? If yes, then please provide drawing showing irrigation piping, sprinkler heads, valves, control system, etc..

**Answer:** No irrigation on park property.

---

**Question No. 96. Utilities Within Excavation Limits**

Are there any existing utilities within the excavation area (e.g., irrigation, water, electric, sewer, stormwater)? If so, please provide the type and location of all interfering utilities.

**Answer:** Contractor responsible for placing an 811 call prior to construction to identify any utility conflicts. All available information at this time is depicted on the plans.

---

**Question No. 97. Use of Adjacent Property**

Can the contractor utilize the property to the south and southwest for site access, laydown, and stockpile operations? Please confirm.

**Answer:** Contractor to coordinate staging areas.

---

**Question No.98. Bid Submission Timeline**

Given the scope of work, we respectfully request at least a two-week extension, moving the bid due date to November 12, 2025. If there is a delay in answering questions, then please adjust the bid due date a minimum of 10 business days after the answer to questions are provided.

**Answer:** No, the bid due date will not be extended.

---

**Question No. 99. Erosion Control Requirements**

- Who will be responsible for preparing Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP)?
- Can you please show construction entrance on one of Erosion control Plan (Drawing #C200.00 to C200.3)?

**Answer:** The Contractor is responsible for preparing the SWPPP and will dictate where they want to place the construction entrance.

---

**Question No. 100. Water Source**

- Can dust suppression water be taken from the adjacent lake?

**Answer:** No, water cannot be taken from the lake.

---

**Question No. 101. Clean Backfill**

- Please confirm that fill from a Miami-Dade approved quarry is assumed to comply with DERM Guidance 7H – Soil Reuse Guidance for Miami-Dade County, Revised January 2024 and only fill outside of Miami-Dade requires sampling per DERM Guidance above.

**Answer:** DERM has indicated that quarries within Miami-Dade County do not require additional testing of fill material.

---

**Question No. 102. Tree Protection Impermeable Barrier**

According to the soil management plan, “Any soils left in place in landscaped areas (tree protection zones or TPZ ) shall be covered with no less than a 6-mil or greater impermeable liner and one foot of clean backfill to prevent exposure and potential leaching to underlying impacted soils left in place.”

- Is there a concern that placing impermeable barriers above the root zones in all the Tree Protection Zones will adversely affect the health of those trees by inhibiting their access to water from rainfall?

**Answer:** Please refer to and follow the SMP.

---

**Question No.103. Tree Protection Zone**

Section 4.5 Proposed Final Cover states that the Tree Protection Zones shall have a 6-mil impermeable liner and one foot of clean fill cover in contrast the Tree Disposition Plan Figures L1.00 thru L1.03 has a Note: Per Section 14-20.C No Pavement, gravel, soil or other material shall be placed within a minimum of 12 feet of any public tree or to the edge of the tree canopy, whichever distance is greater.

- Please clarify which approach within the TPZ's are to be conducted.
- If the one foot soil cover option is selected, this presents a grading issue as many of the TPZ's are overlapping or close together to where a majority of the perimeter area will be one foot higher than the interior surrounding site creating a potential grade issue creating an stormwater impoundment area. Please provide a more details grading plan with this in mind.

**Answer:** The Contractor will be responsible for grading the site with the tree protection zones and providing sod to return the park to a grassed area. Bid form has been revised. Place the soil above tree protection zone per SMP. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

**Question No. 104. Drawing #C201.0 Notes #5 states – “SITE PREPARATION SHOULD BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION WHICH DISCUSSES SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING GROUNDWATER SOIL TREATMENT”.**

- Can you please provide geotechnical investigation report.

**Answer:** A geotechnical report is not available. The note has been removed from the plans, refer to revised Sheet C201.0.

---

**Question No. 105. Appendix C - Soil Management Plan – 4.5 Proposed Final Cover states – “Any soils left in place in landscaped areas (tree protection zones) shall be covered with no less than a 6-mil or greater impermeable liner and one foot of clean backfill to prevent exposure and potential leaching to underlying impacted soils left in place”.**

- This will increase current elevation by 12” for tree protection zone. Will final elevation for the site be 12” higher than current ground elevation?

**Answer:** The Contractor shall grade the soils from the tree protection zones to the final grades of the excavation. Yes, Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1 for restoration plan.

Question No. 106. Groundwater - Can you please confirm that groundwater is not contaminated?

Answer: Condition of groundwater is unknown and not relevant to the bid.

---

Question No. 107. The Mobilization Bid Line indicated ONE sign while the Bite Item Note #1 Indicates TWO signs.

- a. Please verify the quantity of signs required.
- b. Please verify the size of the sign required
- c. Please provide acceptable sign construction

Answer: One sign is to be installed. Please refer to the project sign details on the General Notes sheet.

---

Question No. 108. Who is responsible for paying for the Arborist?

Answer: There is no need for an Arborist. Tree Disposition (L1.00 - L1.03) Plans identify the tree protection zone for the project. Contractor is responsible for installing the Tree Protection per the detail on Sheet L1.04.

---

Question No. 109. Who is responsible for installing and paying for the marking in the field the TPZs by the Arborist?

Answer: Tree Disposition (L1.00 - L1.03) Plans identify the tree protection zone for the project. Contractor is responsible for installing the Tree Protection per the detail on Sheet L1.04.

---

Question No. 110. Do you have a specification for the type (physical characteristic) of clean backfill you want at the site?

Answer: Soil from a quarry within Miami-Dade County is preferred but can be sourced from an outside source with analytical testing to ensure it is suitable for clean fill.

---

Question No. 111. You do not differentiate between clean backfill and soil for vegetative restoration. Do you want top soil to be placed in areas where grass will be planted?

Answer: The Contractor shall plan to provide topsoil across the entire site upon closing of excavation activities and tree protection zone restoration.

---

Question No.112. Is top soil the cover you want over the tree roots?

Answer: Yes.

---

Question No.113. DERM does not require compaction of the backfill. Will you be keeping your 85% compaction specification for backfill?

Answer: Per the approved SMP, backfilling operations will be conducted in one-foot lifts, with each lift meeting or exceeding 85% compaction.

---

Question No. 114. Do you have the frequencies of density testing for the backfill and the sidewalk base as well as the frequencies and type of testing required for the cement sidewalks?

Answer: Sidewalks are proposed as flexipave not concrete.

---

Question No. 115. Do you have specifications for the physical characteristics for the base materials for the sidewalks?

Answer: Please refer to the Sidewalk Cross Section Detail on Sheet C301.0.

---

Question No. 116. Please provide pad details including any rebar for the pavillons -

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1 for pavilion foundation details.

---

Question No. 117. If you want top soil over the tree roots do you have a percent organic matter in the soil?

Answer: Top soil to cover the tree roots.

---

Question No. 118. Do you have specifications on the physical characteristics of the 6 mil liner you want as the impervious liner?

Answer: 6 mil or greater plastic liner.

---

---

Question No. 119. Will the bidding firms have to have proof of 40 hour HAZWOPER program in the company to be able to bid on this project?

Answer: Yes

---

Question No. 120. Who is responsible for acquiring, maintaining, document collection and payment for the equipment that will measure the atmospheric conditions at the site?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 121. Who is responsible for compiling all of the data and documents and then writing the (SMOR)?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 122. In General Notes item 21 it states that the contractor will replace all paving driveways sidewalks with the same type of material that was removed. In the bid form it looks like the trails in the park are to be replaced with 8' flexi-pave. Can you define the transition points connecting the flexi-pave to the replacement concrete sidewalk?

Answer: The Restoration Plan includes a hatch showing the extent of sidewalk replacement with 8' wide flexi-pave.

---

Question No. 123. General Notes VI. Project Close Out number 4: "Clean the Existing Structures and Drainage System...." Is this applicable to this project and if so please provide the following details for the structures and pipes that are required to be cleaned.

i. Location, Material, Size, Direction, Distance

Answer: This is only applicable if the structures are impacted by construction. Contractor to field verify during the construction process.

---

Question No. 124. Who is responsible for the cost and implementation of the Confirmation Sampling Plan to be provided to DERM.

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 125. In cross section C300.2 B-B you show width of sidewalk at 8.5' and cross section A-A at 8.1' and on Sheet 300.3 you show it at 8' also the bid form shows 8'. Can the width be standardized? If not can you identify where the changes in width are located on the diagrams?

Answer: The width of the proposed sidewalk is to be 8' wide at all locations. The cross sections vary slightly due to the angles/turns of the sidewalk at the highlighted locations.

---

Question No. 126. Sheet C301.0 – Root Barrier Detail – This detail is designed for new tree installations.

- a. Please confirm that the Root Barrier Detail is currently not applicable as there are now new trees to be installed

Answer: The Root Barrier Detail on Sheet C301.0 has been updated. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1

---

Question No. 127. Can you provide clean backfill material specifications?

Answer: Contractors may use any quarry within Miami-Dade County for clean fill. Should the Contractor elect to use a quarry outside Miami-Dade County, said quarry must provide analytical data proving the fill as clean.

---

Question No. 128. Dust monitoring to be performed and paid by whom?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 129 Compaction testing to be performed and paid by whom?

Answer: The Contractor will be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 130. Plan states sod & the technical specification states seeding. Which of the two will be required for this project and please provide specifications?

Answer: Sod will be required and has been included in the bid form. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1..

---

Question No. 131. Will a layer of topsoil be required for sodding/seeding? If so, what will the depth and specifications be?

Answer: 2" of topsoil required for sodding. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 132. The tree protection areas shown on the plan will not be excavated, but a 6-mil impermeable liner will be installed with a foot of clean fill above it. Please confirm this statement.

Answer: Confirmed.

---

Question No. 133. Can you provide the specifications of the 6-mil impermeable liner that will be used on this project?

Answer: 6 mil or greater plastic liner

---

Question No. 134. Do we need to excavate 4 feet deep under the new flexible pavement walkway and fill with 3.67 feet of clean fill and 4 inches of #57 stone?

Answer: Refer to the Sidewalk Cross Section detail on Sheet C301.0 for information on flexi-pave installation requirements.

---

Question No. 135. Is the existing walkway in the same location as the new flexi pave walkway?

Answer: The new flexi-pave walkway is in roughly the same location as the existing walkway, with a few locations eliminated along the proposed path. Please refer to the Demolition and Erosion Control Plan, along with the Restoration Plan.

---

Question No. 136. Plans C300.0; C300.1; C300.2; and C300.3 show the proposed elevations for the new flexi pave walkway, but not the existing elevations of the site. Therefore, we need the existing elevations to perform the earthworks for the walkway, or we consider maintaining the same elevations as the existing walkway.

Answer: Additional grading has been included in the Restoration Plan.

---

Question No. 137. Do we have to include permit costs in the project cost or are they reimbursable?

Answer: All permits are to be obtained by the Contractor. Permit fees are not to be included in the base bid but the Town will pay all permit fees from the allowance account identified in Addendum No1.

---

Question No. 138. Can you provide more details about the project sign that will be displayed at the entrances to the project area, such as the dimensions of the sign and the materials that would be allowed for its construction?

Answer: Please refer to the project sign detail included in the plans.

---

Question No. 139. Can you provide a soil analysis/ waste profile report?

Answer: The Contractor shall be responsible for the waste profile with the landfill.

---

Question No. 140. Can the quantities of contaminated material and clean fill material be changed from (CY) to (TN) to match the manifest and weight tickets provided by the operating entities and the supplier?

Answer: Quantity of contaminated material and clean fill to remain in cubic yards.

---

Question No. 141. Will quantities be measured from tickets provided by operating entities (Landfill and quarry)?

Answer: Yes, the signed waste manifests/weight tickets will be required to be submitted to the Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) for documentation with DERM.

---

Question No. 142. The total amount of soil to be excavated will be up to 24,000 CY, according to the scope of the work in the ITB. Is the 24,000 CY of excavated soil considered contaminated or is there a difference between the ITB and Qty sheet?

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1 for the total quantity of contaminated soil to be removed from the site.

---

Question No. 143. Why does the total amount of 24,000 CY of excavated contaminated soil not match the total amount shown in the bid form of 12,950 CY under the item (OFF-SITE EARTHMOVING)?

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1 for the total quantity of contaminated soil to be removed from the site.

---

Question No. 144. The quantities for the items (EARTHWORKS OFF-SITE) and (EARTHWORKS ON-SITE) don't match. Don't they refer to the same excavation? These quantities must match in order to backfill the excavated area.

Answer: The quantities do not match because the elevation of the site is being raised.

---

Question No. 145. Should the existing asphalt path and concrete slabs to be demolished also be treated as contaminated material and disposed of at an approved facility?

Answer: Asphalt being removed shall be disposed in a similar manner as the contaminated soils. Contractor to determine means and methods to dispose of asphalt.

---

Question No. 146. Is there a specific number of days/durations to complete this project?

Answer: Full contract duration is 210 days. 180 for substantial completion and an additional 30 days for final completion.

---

Question No. 147. The Flexipave paving detail on Sheet C301.0 shows 4 inches of No. 57 stone as base but doesn't specify a new subbase material. Can we use the clean fill material that will be used throughout the site as the subbase?

Answer: Yes

---

Question No. 148. The royal palms which run along SW 97 Ave. call for Tree protection zone. This detail/note #6 on the restoration pages calls for the installation of the 6 mil impermeable liner with 1' of clean fill cover. However, this area is not depicted in the contaminated soil zone. Will this detail/scope be required on these existing palm trees adjacent to SW 97 Ave?

Answer: No, this does not apply to any trees outside of the property boundary outlined in the Restoration/Tree Disposition Plans.

---

Question No. 149. Can you provide a detail showing the reinforcement spacing and steel specifications for the concrete slab of the shelter?

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1 for pavilion foundation details.

---

Question No. 150. Do we need to do any work, such as excavation, backfilling, clearing, and grubbing, in areas outside the property boundary? Or do we just need to protect the trees and palm trees along SW 97th Ave?

Answer: These activities will not be required outside the property boundaries.

---

Question No. 151. Is there a recommended or planned location where the contractor will be able to store excess soil from the excavations?

Answer: The Contractor shall be responsible for determining the appropriate locations of stockpiled soils.

---

Question No. 152. Is there a separate designated area to place clean soils away from impacted soils?

Per SMP: "The on-Site stockpiling of clean fill material for future use must be maintained separate from contaminated soil stockpiles"

Answer: The Contractor shall be responsible for determining the appropriate locations of stockpiled soils.

---

Question No. 153. What is the boundary for the 24,000 CY excavation? Are we excavating soils that are impacted outside of the site boundary as shown on figures 3A-3C.

Answer: These activities will not be required outside the property boundaries.

---

Question No. 154. Will soil removal efforts be required on the soils that are outside the site boundary?

Answer: These activities will not be required outside the property boundaries.

---

Question No. 155. Are we allowed to store clean/impacted soil outside the site boundary?

Answer: The Contractor shall be responsible for determining the appropriate locations of stockpiled soils.

---

Question No. 156. There's clean soil within the property boundaries; are we instructed to leave them in place or remove and backfill at a later date?

Answer: The excavation should include the entire area within the property boundaries, less the tree protection zones.

---

Question No. 157. Will the DRC, CSP, and ECMP be completed by Kimley & Horn or by the bid's contractor?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for this item.

---

Question No. 158. Does the bid need a bid bond and the job need to be bonded?

Answer: Yes, please refer to page 42 of ITB.

---

Question No. 159. There are a lot of soils to be disposed of. Is there a project budget?

Answer: There is no estimated budget for this project.

---

Question No. 160. Is there a preference on which crosswalks will be closed during the field work?

Answer: Contractor to provide appropriate detour routes for pedestrians as needed.

---

Question No. 161. Do you have detail plans on the exercise equipment, benches, waste receptacles, and signs.

Answer: Contractor to field verify existing hardscape structures.

---

Question No. 162. Will the Town of Cutler bay set up tree protection with their arborist, or will the contractor complete this item?

Per TREE PROTECTION ZONE bid item on page 24/187.

Answer: Tree Disposition (L1.00 - L1.03) Plans identify the tree protection zone for the project. Contractor is responsible for installing the Tree Protection per the detail on Sheet L1.04.

---

Question No. 163. Regarding the Payment & Performance bond, how many days for substantial completion?

Answer: Full contract duration is 210 days. 180 for substantial completion and an additional 30 days for final completion.

---

Question No. 164. Regarding the Payment & Performance bond, how many days for final completion?

Answer: Full contract duration is 210 days. 180 for substantial completion and an additional 30 days for final completion.

---

Question No. 165. Please verify that the bid bond is for a set amount of \$15,000, rather than a per cent (%) of the amount bid.

Answer: Confirmed, please refer to Page 42 of ITB.

---

Question No. 166. p. 8 indicates that Bid Guaranty's in the form of Cashier's Checks must be delivered in person to the Town Clerk's office prior to the submittal deadline. Should Bid bonds also be delivered to the Clerk's office, or should they be submitted via Demandstar along with the rest of the bid?

Answer: Bid bonds must be provided to Town Clerks Office, please refer Page 8 of ITB.

---

Question No. 167. p. 39. Dade County Certificate of Competency (state type & number). Is this required for contractors who are FL State Certified General Contractors?

Answer: Yes

---

Question No. 168. p. 39 Town of Cutler Bay Occupational License (state type & number). Please confirm this is not applicable for contractors that do not have locations based in the Town of Cutler Bay. If necessary, would it be acceptable to provide the occupational license relevant to contractors' corporate and most geographically close facility(ies)?

Answer: Correct

---

Question No. 169. What local permits are required to perform this work?

Answer: Contractor is responsible for pulling all permits required for the work.

---

Question No. 170. P. 41 of the Contractor Questionnaire states "Give references as to experience, ability, and financial standing." Please confirm and/or clarify – are you seeking names and contact information for references?

Answer: Yes, Contractor to provide names and contact information for references.

---

Question No. 171. P. 41 of the Contractor Questionnaire says "financial statement" and then provides space to type. Please specify what you're looking for.

Answer: Item to be removed. Please refer to Addendum 1.

---

Question No. 172. The Offsite Earthwork 12,950 CY work is not mentioned anywhere else within the document, can they expand on that scope or is it possibly in there incorrectly (page 23).

Answer: Please refer to the bid form for the total quantity of contaminated soil to be removed from the site.

---

Question No. 173. Will the City be providing access to water for work functions - in particular the 7.2 Dust Control Water that specifically lists wet suppression (page 121).

Answer: The Town will not be providing a water source. The Contractor is responsible for securing water for dust suppression.

---

Question No. 174. Are we restoring the site to the original elevations after hauling off muck and importing clean fill?

a. If so, please clarify the following quantities because it seems like we are importing more fill than what is being hauled off.

Page 106 of 187 the scope of work states that the total amount of excavation is 24,000 cy.

Page 23 of 187 of the bid form (EARTHWORK-OFFSITE) states that only 12,950 cy of muck will be hauled off.

i. Will there be 11,050 cy ( = 24,000 cy – 12,950 cy) of excavated material that will not be hauled off and used for onsite fill?

Page 23 of 187 of the bid form (EARTHWORK-ONSITE) states that the import quantity is 25,000 cy.

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1 for Restoration Plan for proposed site elevations as it is not being returned to original elevations. Please refer to the bid form for the total quantity of contaminated soil to be removed from the site. No excavated soil shall be removed for onsite fill.

---

Question No. 175. Will the Town/Kimely Horn be handling all dust monitoring, contaminated soil testing, oversight etc?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for these items.

---

Question No. 176. Will there be temporary power provided by the Town for the wash station or should the contractor supply a generator for the duration of the project to run the wash station?

Answer: Bid form has been revised to remove the automatic washdown station requirement. Refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 177. Please provide a survey showing all underground utilities that exist in the work area.

Answer: Contractor responsible for placing an 811 call prior to construction to identify any utility conflicts. All available information at this time is depicted on the plans.

---

Question No. 178. Please provide a specific elevation for the water table rather than a depth from existing of 3-4 feet.

Answer: Information provided is what is available.

---

Question No. 179. Need foundation/slab details for pavilions

Answer: Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1 for pavilion foundation details.

---

Question No. 180. Is Redland handling tree protection scope?

Answer: Tree Disposition (L1.00 - L1.03) Plans identify the tree protection zone for the project. Contractor is responsible for installing the Tree Protection per the detail on Sheet L1.04.

---

Question No. 181. C-100 says grades on plans are NGVD but C-200 says grades are NAVD?

Answer: Sheet C200 has been revised to read that grades provided are in NGVD per the survey. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 182. Is there any irrigation/landscape to be done once site is filled back to existing grade?

Answer: Irrigation, none.  
Landscape refer to Bid Sheet Item Site Restoration.

---

Question No. 183. Is tree/palm protection required outside of property boundary line?

Answer: These activities will not be required outside the property boundaries.

---

Question No. 184. Please clarify the scope of work inside the tree/palm protection zone. Is there a liner to be installed and/or fill to be place in this area?

Answer: Please refer to the SMP. Tree Disposition (L1.00 - L1.03) Plans identify the tree protection zone for the project. Contractor is responsible for installing the Tree Protection per the detail on Sheet L1.04.

---

Question No. 185. Are there any certified payroll or wage rates?

Answer: None

---

Question No. 186. C-300.4 shows a concrete sidewalk in the cross sections but plan view legend depicts 2" flexi pave.

Answer: Cross sections revised to depict flexi-pave. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 187. Please provide limits of concrete sidewalk and 2" flexi pave areas

Answer: Cross sections revised to depict flexi-pave. Please refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 188. Does Redland need to provide a P&P bond for this project?

Answer: Yes, please refer to page 11 and pages 74-81 of the ITB.

---

Question No. 189. 15 Is all exercise equipment and benches in good working order or should contactor assume any replacement?

Answer: All existing exercise equipment and benches to be reused. Contractor responsible for replacement if damaged/not returned in existing condition.

---

Question No. 190. Please define entry and exit points.

Answer: The Contractor will be responsible for determining entry and exit points.

---

Question No. 191. Please provide details for installation of benches and exercise equipment.

Answer: Existing benches and exercise equipment to be utilized. Details for existing equipment is not available. All existing exercise equipment and benches to be reused. Contractor responsible for replacement if damaged/not returned in existing condition.

---

Question No. 192. Please provide location of automatic wash station

Answer: Bid form has been revised to remove the automatic washdown station requirement. Refer to ITB 25-06 Revised (fillable version) posted on October 22, 2025 on Demandstar and Town website as stated in Addendum #1.

---

Question No. 193. Please provide days of the week and hours that will be allowed to work.

Answer: Monday- Friday, 8:00 AM- 5:00 PM

---

Question No. 194. Is there any minority participation, SBE goals?

Answer: No

---

Question No. 195. Please provide Geotech report if available.

Answer: There is no geotechnical report available.

---

Question No. 196. Will there be any additional material testing done on the soils prior to hauling off to the class 1 landfill?

Answer: Not anticipated.

---

Question No. 197. Please confirm that all materials excavated are to be hauled to class 1 landfill and nothing can be reused on the site.

Answer: Correct. The preferred disposal facility is the South Dade Landfill to the south.

---

Question No. 198. What method is to used to document and quantify the excavation and disposal of contaminated soils and import of clean soils for payment. Idea: Survey prior to excavation, survey the bottom and limits of excavation, final survey surface after clean fill is placed and graded. The export and import will have tonnage tickets but the pay items are in cubic yards not tons.

Answer: The waste manifests/weight tickets will be used to determine the amounts taken off/brought onto the site.

---

Question No. 199. Please confirm that we are simply bringing the site back the grades that existed prior to excavation.

Answer: The Contractor will be responsible for grading the areas within the tree protection zones with the reestablished site grades. Additional grades provided within the Restoration Plan.

---

Question No. 200. Are there any requirements for import fill other than needs to come from a DERM approved quarry? I.E. LBR, gradation/fine content requirements?

Answer: No, the Contractor can use any quarry within Miami-Dade County. Should the Contractor elect to use a quarry outside Miami-Dade County, the quarry materials must be tested to ensure that the material is suitable for clean fill.

---

Question No. 201. Regarding ITB #25-06 for the Soil Remediation Project for Blue Heron Park, we would like to confirm whether architectural services are anticipated or required as part of this solicitation for the site restoration and reconstruction.

Answer: No architectural services anticipated.

---

Question No. 202. Contractor who will be overseeing the implementation of the Soil Management Plan?

Answer: The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for overseeing the contractor's work for documentation to the Town and DERM.

---

**Question No. 203. Will contractor receive groundwater sample logs for the project?**

**Answer:** Groundwater sampling is not anticipated as part of the project and there are no existing monitoring wells onsite.

---

**Question No. 204. Who is responsible for the environmental testing for the project?**

**Answer:** The Town's Environmental Consultant (Kimley-Horn and Associates) will be responsible for these items.

---

**Question No. 205. Clarification on on-site vs off-site bid line items.**

**Answer:** The intention of the offsite line item in the bid form is indicative of the soil to be hauled offsite. Onsite line item in the bid form is indicative of the clean soil to be placed on site.

**END OF DOCUMENT**