



ITB No. 25-04  
Saga Bay Drainage Improvements Project

**TOWN RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS**

**Received prior to February 25, 2026, at 1:00 pm deadline**

Note: Bid Item 522-2-1 quantities and units have been changed to now reflect units in SY.

**Question No. 1.** Please confirm if Builders Risk Insurance is required for this project.

**Answer:** No. Please refer to Addendum 1. The verbiage for section 6.1.1.4 in the contract has been removed. You are required to provide a Performance and Payment Bond for the full cost of your Bid. Please also refer to section 6.1.1.5 of the contract on page 52 of 329, which does apply.

---

**Question No. 2.** Bid form for Phase III, Bid Item 110-4-10 is for 900 sy, but the take off quantities do not match with the Bid Form. Take off quantities add about a 50% less than that quantity. Please clarify.

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum II for the updated bid form. Bid item 110-4-10 has been removed and removal of all Existing Concrete (Driveways and Aprons) falls under bid item 110-1-A Clearing and Grubbing. Removal of existing sidewalks falls under bid item 522-2-1.

---

**Question No. 3.** Plan Sheet C301.13, Note #6 for Trench/Pavement Restoration states that "Pavement Restoration for longitudinal cuts shall include full lane width resurfacing for each lane within which the cut extends." Given that the roads will be milled and resurfaced can I assume that the restoration will be as per the drawing; 8' asphalt pavement restoration?

**Answer:** Yes.

---

**Questions No. 4** Further on the same page there is a Pavement Cross-Section that shows 2" asphalt and 8" Limerock base, 12" Compacted & Stabilized Subgrade. This differs from the aforementioned diagram that shows a 12" Limerock base. Please clarify.

**Answer:** Proceed with 12" limerock base, following the trench/pavement restoration detail.

---

**Question No. 5.** Bid item 425-1-365 (Saga Bay Phae 1) includes three (3) FDOT type P-6 Top Only, but the plans indicate 4 structures (CB-12, CB-13, CB-14 and CB-15). Please Clarify.

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum II for the updated bid form. For bid item 425-1-365 (Saga Bay Phase I) there is now four Type 6 Tops. For bid item 425-1-362 there is now only one Type 6 Structure and Top.



ITB No. 25-04  
Saga Bay Drainage Improvements Project

**Question No. 6.** Plans indicate locations with proposed catch basins connecting with proposed MH's and adding PRB in the wrong direction and not as per note 10 of the Standard Detail SD1.1 (1 of 2). Please clarify.

**Answer:** The plans have been approved by DERM. PRBs can be placed either facing the exfiltration trench or the CB the opposite way. PRBs were placed in strategic locations to reduce the number of PRBs needed and to raise the bottom of the structure as much as possible.

---

**Question No. 7.** Plans shown proposed MH's to be installed on top of existing drainage lines connecting two catch basins. Will the Town add a bid pay item for these connections? Under which pay item the contractor will include them?

**Answer:** Per bid note for 425 Items, these bid items include connecting to existing and proposed pipes.

---

**Question No. 8.** Can you please tell me if the City has a Budget for this project?

**Answer:** The Town has budgeted \$6,000,000.

---

**Question No. 9.** What is the budget/engineers estimate for the referenced project?

**Answer:** The Town has budgeted \$6,000,000.

---

**Question No. 10.** Please confirm if a Certified Utility Underground/Excavation and Pipelines Contractor licensed by State of Florida Department of Business and Professional Regulations will also be considered a primary for this project?

**Answer:** Yes.

---

**Question No. 11.** In the Plan sheet C001.1 General Notes, Item A.14, last sentence reads that Geotechnical Report are included in the Contract Documents and yet in the ITB, Section 1.3 Job Conditions (page 112) the last sentence reads that "Boring log data and soil samples are available for examination after signing a release at the office of the Engineer".

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum III – Geotechnical report.



ITB No. 25-04  
Saga Bay Drainage Improvements Project

**Question No. 12.** Phase II, the West Section has far more than 20 LF of F Curb & Gutter ( or Type RA-classified as 2' curb & gutter overall in plan) Am I to assume that additional curbing has to be included in the "Restoration" bid item or will we be allowed to overbill against the F Curb & Gutter. Even though the plans don't call out the replacement of the F Curb anywhere in the plan, the fact that the drainage pipe will affect the median is very clear (it is within the 8' restoration), and not simply a means and method issue. The two areas where new P inlets will be installed and sidewalk replaced shows a darker line for the F Curb but that totals 40 LF. However, the explanation for Bid Item 500 does mention including sidewalks, curbs, etc. This is very misleading and confusing.

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum II for the updated bid form. For bid item 520-1-10 (Saga Bay Phase II) the quantity is now 150 LF.

---

**Question No. 13.** In the same Phase II plan, the quantity for Item 522-2 is inaccurate. This section covers all the concrete sidewalk and all the concrete ramps but only covers 20 of the 26 concrete aprons. Even though the line items says it includes driveways, there (3) concrete driveways and two stamped concrete driveway that are over the quantity given. The question is, can this item be over-billed or do the quantities that are not included need to be in the "Restoration" bid item 500? This is very misleading and confusing.

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum II for the updated bid form. For bid item 522-2 (Saga Bay Phase II) the quantity has been increased to 335 SY and sidewalks are no longer part of this line item.

---

**Question No. 14.** Your explanation for Bid Item 110-4-10, which is Removal of Existing Concrete (Sidewalks, Driveways, Aprons) on page 23 of 329 appears to actually be the explanation for a combination of aforementioned Bid Item AND Clearing and Grubbing which is Item 110-1-A.

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum II for the updated bid form. Bid item 110-4-10 has been removed and removal of all Existing Concrete (Driveways and Aprons) are under bid item 110-1-A Clearing and Grubbing. Removal of existing sidewalks falls under bid item 522-2-1.



ITB No. 25-04  
Saga Bay Drainage Improvements Project

**Question No. 15.** Are there any Gas Services in this development?

**Answer:** The Engineer of Record reached out to all known utilities in the area including Florida City Gas. Florida City Gas did not provide a response or Atlas. No gas valves were identified in the Survey.

---

**Question No. 16.** Will the Town of Cutler Bay be providing a storage yard for this project?

**Answer:** The Town will not provide a storage yard. The Contractor may stage at the dead end of SW 196 Street east of Old Cutler Road as well as the Public right-of-way of where they will perform work.

---

**Question No. 17:** Page 48 of 354 calls out removal of existing slab covered trench. There is no indication on the plans if and where these may be located, nor the lengths. In order to provide the City with a fair and competitive price, please provide this information. If it is unknown to you may I suggest using a specific length/configuration to ascertain a competitive price from other contractors?

**Answer:** There is no indication that there is any removal of existing slab covered trench within the project limits, however, should there be any it is to be covered under line item 110-1-A Clearing and Grubbing.

---

**Question No. 18.** Please provide the Engineer's Estimate for this project.

**Answer:** The Engineer's Opinion of Probable cost will not be disclosed at this time as per F.S. 337.168.

---

**Question No. 19.** Page 24 of 354, 6.1.1.1 calls for Commercial General Liability Coverage to "... eliminate the exclusion with respect to property under the care, custody and control of Contractor". No other bidder will be able to eliminate this because it is standard in every GL policy (part of the policy form). This is standard ISO exclusionary language found in section J under Exclusions.

**Answer:** This is standard language. The language shall remain as is. Please submit your General Liability Coverage certificates with minimum limit thresholds as described in ITB.



ITB No. 25-04  
Saga Bay Drainage Improvements Project

**Question No. 20.** Are there any soil borings for this project?

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum III – Geotechnical report.

---

**Question No. 21.** The bid items cite three different units for detectable warning on concrete ramps ie; LF, SF and SY. Please advise if this is an error.

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum II for correction of units for line item 527-1 Detectable Warning to be in SF.

---

**Question No. 22.** Cost of As-Builts - what line item should they be included in?

**Answer:** 101-1 Mobilization

---

**Question No. 23.** Bond /Insurance Costs - what line item should they be included in?

**Answer:** 101-1 Mobilization

---

**Question No. 24.** Bid docs reference Builders Risk insurance, is this coverage required for this project?

**Answer:** No. Please refer to Addendum I. The verbiage for section 6.1.1.4 in the contract has been removed. You are required to provide a Performance and Payment Bond for the full cost of your Bid. Please also refer to section 6.1.1.5 of the contract on page 52 of 329, which does apply.

---

**Question No. 25.** Bid Item Notes are missing Item 110-1-A and 430-830.

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum II for addition of bid item Notes for 110-1-A and 430-830. Bid item note 110-4-10 has been eliminated.

---

**Question No. 26.** Bid Item Notes show Detectable Warning as 527-1 but Bid Form shows as 527-2

**Answer:** Please refer to Addendum II for correction to match Bid Item Notes and Bid Form as 527-1.