



TOWN OF CUTLER BAY
INVITATION TO BID (ITB) No. 23-10
FRANJO ROAD ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT

TOWN RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS

RECEIVED PRIOR TO THE FEBRUARY 6, 2024, AT 1:00 PM DEADLINE

Question No. 1. What permits are required?

Answer: The Project Plans have been completely approved by Miami-Dade County. A Town of Cutler Bay Public Works construction permit will be applied for by the Contractor that is awarded the project.

Question No. 2. Does Miami-Dade's User Access Program (UAP) on page 253 of the ITB (see attached) apply to this project?

Answer: The UAP covers the cost of procurement activities. Since procurement was not performed by the County (County is not dealing directly with the contractor), the UAP does not apply.

Question No. 3. In regard to line item #999 on the Bid Form. How would you want us to calculate the 15% contingency?

Answer: An addendum has been issued for line item 999 with a pre-determined Contingency lump Sum of \$1,500,000.00 to be used. See revised bid form.

Question No. 4. Please confirm NTP will be issued after all permits are in hand.

Answer: The Town of Cutler Bay will issue the NTP at its discretion.

Question No. 5. Does the User Access Program fee (2.00% deducted from every pay application) apply to this project?

Answer: Not applicable.

Question No. 6. Does the 0.25% Inspector General fee apply to this project?

Answer: Not applicable.

Question No. 7. Will the Town provide the Contractor with a staging area along the project corridor?

Answer: Some minor staging will be allowed in the right-of-way adjacent to immediate construction. It is Contractor's responsibility to negotiate with local church or landowner with vacant land, for a staging site. Any costs associated with leasing staging site is part of mobilization line item. An addendum has been issued removing the 5% calculation in line item 101-1. See revised bid form. For convenience the following is a list of local churches that have leased out land for staging area:

Cutler Bay Worship Center - 8601 SW 199 Street-305-484-1735

Saint Faith Episcopal Church - 10600 Caribbean Boulevard-305-235-3621

Question No. 8. Please confirm the Contractor must include all escalation in their bid price.

Answer: Please refer to Section 500 Contract for Construction Section 7.2, page 114 of 608.

Question No. 9. The bid form shows only Sod as a pay item in the landscape category, but it is a lump sum. Will this pay item be modified or a new pay item added for the balance of the landscaping scope (tree removal, relocation, protection, new planting, mulch, etc.)?

Answer: An addendum has been issued to clarify description of line item 575-1 to include lump sum for all landscape related items (Trimming of existing trees as necessary, root pruning, grading as necessary, new plantings of trees, plants, shrubs, tree removals, tree relocations, tree protection, mulch, watering, warranty, etc.). A new line item 575-2 has been added for sodding (to include watering, warranty). See revised bid form.

Question No. 10. There is an existing area that has pavers on the intersection of Franjo Road and Old Cutler Road. Will this area have pavers?

Answer: No. The project limits end just north of the intersection of Franjo Road and Old Cutler Road.

Question No. 11. There are a couple of roundabouts at the intersection of Franjo Rd. and SW 200th Street and at the intersection of Franjo Rd and SW 97th Avenue. Will those roundabouts have pavers? If so, which type of pavers, will it be mud-set or sand-set?

Answer: Roundabouts have an infield paver; Paver module-forest Hill Cobble Adobe color, 4"x8"x3-1/8, sandset. An addendum has been issued for line item 526-1-1. See revised bid form and revised sheet C-22A.

Question No. 12. There are some properties that currently have pavers on the approach areas. Should we also quote paver installation, or those approaches will not be affected by the road improvements? If those approaches must be reinstalled with new pavers. Which type of pavers do you want to use there? Will it be sand-set or mud-set?

Answer: All existing driveway approaches along public right of way will be newly formed and poured concrete driveways. See note 5 in plan and profile sheet.

Question No. 13. Will this project require a field office throughout the duration of construction, if so, where are bidders to allocate the costs for said field office?

Answer: Not Required.

Question No. 14. Will the Town be providing monetary budget amounts for pay items 800-7 (Permit Allowance), 800-8 (Off-Duty Police Allowance), 980 (Safety Act), and 999 (Contingency 15%)? If so, what are those amounts?

Answer: An addendum has been issued with a pre-determined lump sum to be used for the following line items:

For Line item 800-7 Permit Allowance a lump sum of \$25,000.00 to be used.

For Line item 800-8 Off Duty Police Allowance a lump sum of \$100,000.00 to be used.

For Line item 980 Safety Act a lump sum of \$ 1,000.00 to be used.

For Line item 999 Contingency a lump sum of \$ 1,500,000.00 to be used.

See revised bid form.

Question No. 15. The Bid plans provide a tree disposition plans (Sheets 107 to 141); however, the bid form does not provide associated pay items for the work proposed on said plans. Where are bidders to allocate costs for the removal and relocation of landscaping as shown on the tree disposition plans?

Answer: An addendum has been issued to clarify description of line item 575-1 to include lump sum for all landscape related items (Trimming of existing trees as necessary, root pruning, grading as necessary, new plantings of trees, plants, shrubs, tree removals, tree relocations, tree protection, mulch, watering, warranty, etc.). A new line item 575-2 has been added for sodding (to include watering, warranty). See revised bid form.

Question No. 16. The bid plans propose reinforced colored concrete crosswalks; however, the bid plans do not provide any detail as to the type of reinforcement nor the placement of reinforcement. Will the town be providing a crosswalk reinforcement detail?

Answer: Yes. See new detail on Sheet C-22A (see Addendum #1)

Question No. 17. The bid plans propose installation of pavers at roundabouts; however, the bid plans do not provide any detail as to the type, color and placement of pavers. Will the town be providing a paver installation detail?

Answer: Yes. See new detail on revised sheet C-22A (attached). The roundabouts have an infield paver. Paver module – forest Hill Cobble, Adobe color, 4”x8”X3-1/8 sandset. An addendum has been issued for line item 526-1-1. See revised bid form (see Addendum #1).

Question No. 18. The bid plan provides a Planting plan (Sheets LA-20 to LA-35) and a Proposed Plant List (Sheet LA-19); however, the bid form does not provide associated pay items the work proposed in said plans. Where are bidders to allocate costs for proposed landscape as shown and tabulated on the planting list and plans?

Answer: An addendum has been issued to clarify description of line item 575-1 to include lump sum for all landscape related items (Trimming of existing trees as necessary, root pruning, grading as necessary, new plantings of trees, plants, shrubs, tree removals, tree relocations, tree protection, mulch, watering, warranty, etc.). A new line item 575-2 has been added for sodding (to include watering, warranty). See revised bid form.

Question No. 19. Drainage Structure S-73 is proposed as a P-5 Inlet on the “Plan & Profile” Sheets; however, the “Drainage Structure” sheets are proposing as a P-9. Please clarify.

Answer: Please be advised Drainage Structure S-73 is a P-5. See revised sheet C-50 for drainage structure S-73 (see Addendum #1).

Question No. 20. Drainage Structure S-16 is shown on the “Drainage Structure” sheets as a P-10M; however, this structure is not shown or depicted on the “Roadway & Profile” Sheets. Please provide a plan sheet that indicates the location where S-16 is to be installed.

Answer: See revised sheet C-08 for drainage structure S-16 (see Addendum #1).

Question No. 21. Drainage Structures S-66 & S-67 are proposed as a P-5 Inlet on the “Plan & Profile” Sheets; however, the “Drainage Structure” sheets are proposing as a P-6. Please clarify.

Answer: Please be advised Drainage Structures S-66 & S-67 are both P-5. See revised sheet C-50 for drainage structures S-66 and S-67 (see Addendum #1).

Question No. 22. Drainage Structures S-126 & S-127 are proposed as a P-3 Inlet on the “Plan & Profile” Sheets; however, the “Drainage Structure” sheets are proposing as a P-4. Please clarify.

Answer: Please be advised Drainage Structures S-126 & S-127 are both P-3. See revised sheet C-54 for drainage structures S-126 and S-127 (see Addendum #1).

Question No. 23. Drainage Structure S-118 is shown on the “Drainage Structure” sheets as a Type D Inlet; however, the bid form does not provide an associated pay item for Type D inlets. Please clarify.

Answer: An addendum has been issued adding new bid line item 425-1-541 for Type D Inlet. See revised bid form.

Question No. 24. The notes associated with Bid Item 425-1 indicate that “cost of baffles to be included in cost of structure”; however, the “Drainage Structure” sheets do not indicate which structures require baffles. Please indicate which structures require baffles and how many.

Answer: See revised sheets C-30 through C-54 for drainage structures that require baffles. There are 40 structures that require baffles, with a total of 61 baffles. (See Addendum #1)

Question No. 25. The plans do not indicate nor establish the limits of construction on SW 200th Street. Please provide the limits off construction on SW 200th Street.

Answer: See revised sheet C-23 for revised construction limits. (See Addendum #1)

Question No. 26. The notes associated with Bid Item 425-6 states that the work associated with said pay item is “contingent upon field conditions and may be increased, decreased or eliminated by the engineer”; however, pay item 425-6 is a lump sum pay item. Please clarify how this item will be increased, decreased and or eliminated if the costs are not based on unit rates.

Answer: Contractor is responsible for all necessary adjustments included in line item. Bid item 425-6 will be paid as Lump Sum.

Question No. 27. The “Street lighting” sheets indicate existing light poles to be removed; however, the bid form does not provide a pay item associated with the proposed work, nor does the “Tabulation of Lighting Quantities” sheet provide a quantity for said removals. Please provide the quantity of existing light poles to be removals and where costs associated with said work are to be allocated.

Answer: An addendum has been issued adding new bid line item 715-69-000 for removal of existing poles. This shall be treated as optional since F.S. 337.403 may apply. Contractor is responsible to coordinate with FPL. See revised bid form.

Question No. 28. Please advise if traffic sign assemblies are Miami Dade County Spec U-channel post or FDOT Spec Round Aluminum post?

Answer: It will be Miami Dade County Spec U-channel post.

Question No. 29. Where are bidders to allocate costs for “Final Surface” paint striping typically installed upon the installation of the Friction course (Final Lift) asphalt?

Answer: It is to be included in the 711 line item series.

Question No. 30. We would like to ask about the staging area of this project. Does the City have one or more designated staging area(s) on the location?

Answer: Some minor staging will be allowed in the right-of-way adjacent to immediate construction. It is Contractor’s responsibility to negotiate with local church or landowner with vacant land, for a staging site. Any costs associated with leasing staging site is part of mobilization line item. An addendum has been issued removing the 5% calculation in line item 101-1. See revised bid form. For convenience the following is a list of local churches that have leased out land for staging area:

- Cutler Bay Worship Center – 8601 SW 199 Street – 305-484-1735
 - Saint Faith Episcopal Church – 10600 Caribbean Boulevard – 305-235-3621
-

Question No. 31. First and foremost, we wish to compliment the City for having the file sheets match the PDF numbers to easily locate and obtain data. Only a few municipalities provide this!

Answer. N/A. No response required.

Question No. 32. Asbestos: Are there any existing Asbestos pipes within the work area of this project besides the AC lines to grouted?

Answer: Unknown

Question No. 33. Contamination: Are there any known existing contamination within the vicinity of the work on this project?

Answer: No.

Question No. 34. What is the anticipated award date for this project?

Answer: 4/17/2024.

Question No. 35. What is the anticipated start date for construction?

Answer: Tentative 06/03/2024.

Question No. 36. Will you be providing payment of stored materials?

Answer: Partial payment will apply. To be approved by EOR and Town. Contractor is responsible for any lost/damage of material.

Question No. 37. Is there any known construction with other contractors within this project that may impact the work on this contract? If so:

Answer: Yes. Contractor must coordinate with WASD.

a. Where is the work involved?

Answer: Intersection of Franjo Road and Tiffany Drive

b. What type of work is it?

Answer: Force Main tie in installation to main line on Franjo Road.

c. What are the dates anticipated?

Answer: Project is in the procurement phase.

Question No. 38. Is there any tree removal required in this project?

Answer: Yes.

Question No. 39. Is there any Owner or Utility companies work planned in the project boundaries.

Answer: Yes. The Force Main tie installation to main line on Franjo Road. Contractor must coordinate with WASD.

Question No. 40. Will the Owner pay for stored materials?

Answer: Partial payment will apply. To be approved by EOR and Town. The contractor is responsible for any lost/damage of material.

Question No. 41. PDF & ITB PAGE 77, Pay items: 160-40 and 210-1-2 (Stabilization & limerock), why is “Stabilization” quantity less than “Limerock” quantity, when limerock goes over stabilization?

Answer: An Addendum has been issued for line items 160-40 and 210-1-2. See revised bid form.

Question No. 42. PDF & ITB Page 81, Pay item 800-7 “Permit Allowance” is blank, can you please provide the value of all permits required?

Answer: An addendum has been issued for Line item 800-7 Permit Allowance. A lump sum of \$25,000.00 to be used. See revised bid form.

Question No. 43. Water for construction and testing is provided by whom and where?

Answer: Contractor responsibility. The use of portable Water Meters connected to Fire Hydrant provided by contractor is allowed.

Question No. 44. PDF & ITB PAGE 104, 1.4.3. Construction Photographs, STATES: “The number of photographs to be taken will be based on the magnitude of the Work being performed.” How is the quantity of video and number of photos determined? We assume 12,000 feet of video, both sides and 50 photographs, please advise under which item this is to be included.

Answer: Contractor responsibility to determine. Part of line item 101-1 Mobilization.

Question No.45. Is there any required night work?

Answer: No.

Question No. 46. PDF & ITB Pay item 800-8 “Off Duty Police Allowance” has no value, please provide.

Answer: An addendum has been issued for Line item 800-8 Off Duty Police Allowance. A lump sum of \$100,000.00 to be used. See revised bid form.

Question No. 47. Please provide soil borings.

Answer: See ITB Appendix D, pages 532-608. Uploaded to Town Website.

Question No. 48. PDF 322 Appendix A states: "Contractor will be required to replace or install any sidewalk amount as ordered by the Public Works department. There will be no minimum parameter." We assume this will be paid under pay item 522-1-1 & 522-2.

Answer: Yes. The items may be overrun if necessary, and contingency will cover.

Question No. 49. PDF 355 (plans) states: "Contractor shall paint all stations on face of curbs with stencil." Please confirm this requirement.

Answer: Not required.

Question No. 50. Is builder risk required?

Answer: Please refer to item 6.1.1.4 and 6.1.1.5 on Page 112 of 608.

Question No. 51. PDF 105 States: "1.5.3. The Contractor may be required to provide or may choose to use an office trailer for the duration of the Project." We assume it is not a requirement.

Answer: Not required.

Question No. 52. PAY ITMES Allowances for: #800-7, #800-8, #980 do not show a values. Please provide the values so proper bonding costs can be included in bid.

Answer: An addendum has been issued with a pre-determined lump sum to be used for the following line items:

For Line item 800-7 Permit Allowance a lump sum of \$25,000.00 to be used

For Line item 800-8 Off Duty Police Allowance a lump sum of \$100,000.00 to be used.

For Line item 980 Safety Act a lump sum of \$ 1,000.00 to be used.

For Line item 999 Contingency a lump sum of \$ 1,500,000.00 to be used.

See revised bid form.

Question No. 53. Who is to remove the existing FPL fixtures?

Answer: An addendum has been issued adding new bid line item 715-69-000 for removal of existing poles. This shall be treated as optional since F.S. 337.403 may apply. Contractor is responsible to coordinate with FPL. See revised bid form.

Question No. 54. Is the City ok with running 1 - 480 circuit with a 120v circuit in the same conduit?

Answer: Separate conduits are to be used.

Question No. 55. Is the "Service Connection Pull box (BY FPL)" just supplied or also installed by FPL?

Answer: The Service Connection Pull Box to be furnished and installed by the Contractor. FPL will only terminate the conductors within the box.

Question No. 56. Service Point for Message board shows Alu conduit but load center for Street lighting show RGS.

Answer: RGS is acceptable at both locations.
